

THE HOM-YANG-BAXTER EQUATION AND HOM-LIE ALGEBRAS

DONALD YAU

ABSTRACT. Motivated by recent work on Hom-Lie algebras, a twisted version of the Yang-Baxter equation, called the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (HYBE), was introduced by the author in [62]. In this paper, several more classes of solutions of the HYBE are constructed. Some of these solutions of the HYBE are closely related to the quantum enveloping algebra of $sl(2)$, the Jones-Conway polynomial, and Yetter-Drinfel'd modules. We also construct a new infinite sequence of solutions of the HYBE from a given one. Along the way, we compute all the Lie algebra endomorphisms on the $(1+1)$ -Poincaré algebra and $sl(2)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to construct some concrete classes of solutions of the Hom-Yang-Baxter equation (HYBE), which was introduced by the author in [62]. Let us first recall some motivations for the HYBE. In [16] a generalization of Lie algebras, called Hom-Lie algebras, were introduced in which the Jacobi identity is twisted by a linear self-map. More precisely, a *Hom-Lie algebra* $L = (L, [-, -], \alpha)$ consists of a vector space L , a bilinear skew-symmetric bracket $[-, -]: L \otimes L \rightarrow L$, and a linear self-map $\alpha: L \rightarrow L$ such that $\alpha[x, y] = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$ for $x, y \in L$ (multiplicativity) and that the following *Hom-Jacobi identity* holds:

$$[[x, y], \alpha(z)] + [[z, x], \alpha(y)] + [[y, z], \alpha(x)] = 0. \quad (1.0.1)$$

A Lie algebra can be regarded as a Hom-Lie algebra with $\alpha = Id$. Hom-Lie algebras (without multiplicativity) were introduced in [16] to describe the structures on some q -deformations of the Witt and the Virasoro algebras. They are also closely related to discrete and deformed vector fields, differential calculus [16, 39, 40, 52, 54], and number theory [38]. Earlier precursors of Hom-Lie algebras can be found in [19, 42]. Hom-Lie algebras and related algebraic structures have been further studied in [3, 12, 13, 27, 41, 46, 47, 48, 49, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63].

Recall that the Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) states

$$(Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) = (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V), \quad (1.0.2)$$

where V is a vector space and $B: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ is a bilinear automorphism. A solution of the YBE is also called an *R-matrix* (on V). The YBE was first introduced in the context of statistical mechanics [5, 6, 57]. It plays an important role in many topics in mathematical physics, including quantum groups, quantum integrable systems [11], braided categories [30, 31, 32], the Zamolodchikov tetrahedron equation in higher-dimensional categories [4, 33], and invariants of knots and links [56, 64], among others. Many *R*-matrices are known. In particular, various classes of quantum groups were introduced precisely for the purpose of constructing *R*-matrices [8, 9, 10, 18, 34, 37, 44, 53]. Among the many classes of *R*-matrices, a particularly interesting class comes from Lie algebras [4]. Thinking of Hom-Lie algebras as α -twisted analogues of Lie algebras, this raises the question: What

Date: May 19, 2009.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16W30, 17A30, 17B37, 81R50.

Key words and phrases. The Hom-Yang-Baxter Equation, Hom-Lie algebra, Yetter-Drinfel'd module.

is the α -twisted analogue of the YBE that corresponds to Hom-Lie algebras? A natural answer, given in [62], is the *Hom-Yang-Baxter equation* (HYBE):

$$(\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) = (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha), \quad (1.0.3)$$

where V is a vector space, $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear map, and $B: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ is a bilinear (not-necessarily invertible) map that commutes with $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$. In this case, we say that B is a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) . The YBE can be regarded as the special case of the HYBE in which $\alpha = Id$ and B is invertible.

As in the case of R -matrices, solutions of the HYBE can be extended to operators that satisfy the braid relations. With an additional invertibility condition, we obtain a representation of the braid group. Indeed, suppose B is a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) (1.0.3). For integers $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, define the operators $B_i: V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$B_i = \begin{cases} B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(n-2)} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \alpha^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(n-i-1)} & \text{if } 1 < i < n-1, \\ \alpha^{\otimes(n-2)} \otimes B & \text{if } i = n-1. \end{cases} \quad (1.0.4)$$

It is proved in [62, Theorem 1.4] that the maps B_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) satisfy the braid relations

$$B_i B_j = B_j B_i \text{ if } |i - j| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad B_i B_{i+1} B_i = B_{i+1} B_i B_{i+1}. \quad (1.0.5)$$

Moreover, let $\mathfrak{B}_n$ be the braid group on n strands and $\sigma_i \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ be the element representing crossing the i th and the $(i+1)$ st strands with the former going under the latter. The elements σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) generate $\mathfrak{B}_n$ and satisfy the defining braid relations (1.0.5) [1, 2]. If both α and B are invertible, then clearly so are the B_i (1.0.4). In this case, there is a unique group morphism $\rho_n^B: \mathfrak{B}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$ satisfying $\rho_n^B(\sigma_i) = B_i$. This generalizes the usual braid group representations associated to R -matrices, as discussed, for example, in [34, X.6.2].

From the discussion above, it is reasonable to say that it is an important task to construct solutions of the HYBE. Several classes of solutions of the HYBE were constructed in [62]. In the rest of this section, we describe a few more classes of such solutions. Proofs will be given in later sections.

Thinking of the HYBE (1.0.3) as an α -twisted version of the YBE (1.0.2), it makes sense that one should be able to twist R -matrices into solutions of the HYBE. Indeed, suppose that $B: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ is a bilinear map that satisfies the YBE and that $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ is a linear self-map such that

$$\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B = B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}. \quad (1.0.6)$$

Then the operator $B_\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B$ is a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) (see Lemma 2.1). So every operator that satisfies the YBE can, in fact, be twisted into a solution of the HYBE via a compatible linear map α . In order to apply this observation, we take some important R -matrices B and compute *all* the linear maps α that satisfy the compatibility condition (1.0.6). From here on until Theorem 1.5, we work over the field $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers.

As the first example, consider the (unique up to isomorphism) two-dimensional simple $U_q(sl(2))$ -module V_1 . Here $q \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $U_q(sl(2))$ is the quantum enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra $sl(2)$ [8, 9, 24, 34, 35, 45]. We do not need to know the structure of $U_q(sl(2))$ for the discussion below. There is a basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ of V_1 in which v_0 is a highest weight vector. With respect to the basis $\{v_0 \otimes v_0, v_0 \otimes v_1, v_1 \otimes v_0, v_1 \otimes v_1\}$ of $V_1^{\otimes 2}$, the only interesting $U_q(sl(2))$ -linear R -matrices on

V_1 are

$$\Phi_{q,\lambda} = q\lambda \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} - q & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.0.7)$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$. The only other $U_q(sl(2))$ -linear R -matrices on V_1 are (i) the non-zero scalar multiples of $Id_{V_1}^{\otimes 2}$ and (ii) matrices obtained from $\Phi_{q,\lambda}$ with a change of basis and a switch of q and q^{-1} . A clear exposition of these R -matrices on V_1 can be found in [34, VIII.1]. The following result describes all the linear maps that are compatible with $\Phi_{q,\lambda}$ and their induced solutions of the HYBE.

Theorem 1.1. *With respect to the basis $\{v_0, v_1\}$ of the two-dimensional simple $U_q(sl(2))$ -module V_1 , a linear map $\alpha: V_1 \rightarrow V_1$ satisfies (1.0.6) with $B = \Phi_{q,\lambda}$ (1.0.7) if and only if*

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.1.1)$$

for any scalars $a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{C}$. Their corresponding solutions $\Phi_\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ \Phi_{q,\lambda}$ of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (V_1, α) are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & b^2\lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c^2\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad q\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a^2q^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ad\beta & ad & 0 \\ 0 & ad & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d^2q^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

respectively, where $\beta = q^{-1} - q$. The last type of Φ_α is invertible if and only if $ad \neq 0$.

There are important higher dimensional versions of the R -matrices $\Phi_{q,\lambda}$ (1.0.7). Suppose $N \geq 2$ and V is an N -dimensional vector space with a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. Fix non-zero scalars $q \neq \pm 1$ and λ in $\mathbf{C}$. Consider the bilinear map $B_{q,\lambda}: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ defined by

$$B_{q,\lambda}(e_i \otimes e_j) = \begin{cases} \lambda q e_i \otimes e_i & \text{if } i = j, \\ \lambda e_j \otimes e_i & \text{if } i < j, \\ \lambda e_j \otimes e_i + \lambda(q - q^{-1})e_i \otimes e_j & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \quad (1.1.2)$$

It is known that $B_{q,\lambda}$ is an R -matrix on V [34, VIII.1.4]. The R -matrix $B_{q^{-1},q}$ is known as the *Jimbo operator of type $A_{N-1}^{(1)}$* [24, 25, 26]. Switching q and q^{-1} , the R -matrix $B_{q,q^{-1}}$ is used in constructing the quantum exterior algebra [23, section 3]. Moreover, the case $N = 2$ contains the R -matrix $\Phi_{q,\lambda}$ (1.0.7). In fact, if we switch the basis vectors v_0 and v_1 in V_1 , then $\Phi_{q,\lambda} = B_{q^{-1},q\lambda}$. The general case of the R -matrix $B_{q,\lambda}$ is a crucial ingredient in establishing the existence of the polynomial invariant of links known as the *Jones-Conway polynomial* [7, 14, 28, 29], as discussed in [34, X.4 and XII.5]. The following result, which generalizes Theorem 1.1 to higher dimensions, describes all the linear maps that are compatible with $B_{q,\lambda}$ and their induced solutions of the HYBE.

Theorem 1.2. *With respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ of V , a linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ satisfies (1.0.6) with $B = B_{q,\lambda}$ (1.1.2) if and only if α satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) *Each column in the matrix (a_{ij}) for α has at most one non-zero entry.*
- (2) *If $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ and $a_{ki}a_{lj} \neq 0$, then $k < l$.*

Moreover, suppose that α satisfies these two conditions and that a_i denotes either 0 or the only non-zero entry $a_{k(i),i}$ in the i th column in (a_{ij}) , if it exists. Then the solution $B_\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{q,\lambda}$ of

the HYBE for (V, α) (1.0.3) induced by α is given by

$$B_\alpha(e_i \otimes e_j) = \begin{cases} \lambda q a_i^2 (e_{k(i)} \otimes e_{k(i)}) & \text{if } i = j, \\ \lambda a_i a_j (e_{k(j)} \otimes e_{k(i)}) & \text{if } i < j, \\ \lambda a_i a_j (e_{k(j)} \otimes e_{k(i)} + (q - q^{-1})e_{k(i)} \otimes e_{k(j)}) & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \quad (1.2.1)$$

For example, when $N = 2$, the linear maps α that satisfy the two conditions in Theorem 1.2 are listed in (1.1.1). When $N = 3$, the linear maps α that satisfy the two conditions in Theorem 1.2 are listed below, where $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that if α satisfies the two conditions in Theorem 1.2, then α is invertible if and only if its matrix is a diagonal matrix with non-zero diagonal entries. In this case, the induced solution B_α (1.2.1) of the HYBE for (V, α) is also invertible, since $B_{q, \lambda}$ (1.1.2) is invertible.

Another way to generate solutions of the HYBE is to generalize the R -matrices associated to Lie algebras [4]. Suppose $L = (L, [-, -], \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie algebra, as defined in the first paragraph of this Introduction, and set $L' = \mathbf{C} \oplus L$ with $\alpha(a, x) = (a, \alpha(x))$ for $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and $x \in L$. It is shown in [62, Theorem 1.1] that there is a solution

$$B_\alpha((a, x) \otimes (b, y)) = (b, \alpha(y)) \otimes (a, \alpha(x)) + (1, 0) \otimes (0, [x, y]). \quad (1.2.2)$$

of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (L', α) . Moreover, if α is invertible, then so is B_α (1.2.2) [62, Corollary 3.3], where

$$B_\alpha^{-1}((a, x) \otimes (b, y)) = (b, \alpha^{-1}(y)) \otimes (a, \alpha^{-1}(x)) + (0, \alpha^{-2}[x, y]) \otimes (1, 0).$$

The operator B_α^{-1} is a solution of the HYBE for (L', α^{-1}) [62, Proposition 2.6]. Furthermore, in this case we have a braid group representation given by $\sigma_i \mapsto B_i$ (1.0.4), as discussed above. These observations are useful as long as we can produce concrete examples of Hom-Lie algebras.

One systematic method for constructing Hom-Lie algebras goes like this: Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [-, -])$ be a Lie algebra and $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra morphism. Then it is straightforward to check [59] that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = (\mathfrak{g}, [-, -]_\alpha, \alpha)$ is a Hom-Lie algebra, where $[-, -]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [-, -]$. In fact, the Hom-Jacobi identity (1.0.1) for $[-, -]_\alpha$ is α^2 applied to the Jacobi identity of $[-, -]$. To use this recipe to construct Hom-Lie algebras, it boils down to computing Lie algebra morphisms on a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In the following three results, we compute *all* the Lie algebra morphisms on some particularly important Lie algebras and describe their induced Hom-Lie brackets $[-, -]_\alpha$. One then obtains concrete solutions B_α (1.2.2) of the HYBE and, when α is invertible, braid group representations, as discussed in the previous paragraph.

As a warm-up exercise, let us consider the three-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathbf{H} = \text{span}_{\mathbf{C}}\{X, Y, Z\}$. Its Lie bracket is determined by

$$[X, Z] = 0 = [X, Y] \quad \text{and} \quad [Y, Z] = X \quad (\text{the Heisenberg relation}). \quad (1.2.3)$$

See, e.g., [15, 2.5.8] or [22, pp.11-12]. In what follows, two Hom-Lie algebras $(L, [-, -], \alpha)$ and $(L', [-, -]', \alpha')$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there is a linear isomorphism $\gamma: L \rightarrow L'$ such that $\gamma\alpha = \alpha'\gamma$ and $\gamma \circ [-, -] = [-, -]' \circ \gamma^{\otimes 2}$.

Theorem 1.3. Consider the Heisenberg algebra $\mathbf{H}$ with the basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ (1.2.3) and a linear map $\alpha: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$.

- (1) The map α is a Lie algebra morphism if and only if

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.3.1)$$

for some $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$. It is invertible if and only if $(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32}) \neq 0$.

- (2) Given a Lie algebra morphism α on $\mathbf{H}$, the Hom-Lie bracket in the corresponding Hom-Lie algebra $\mathbf{H}_\alpha = (\mathbf{H}, [-, -]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [-, -], \alpha)$ is determined by the relations

$$[X, Y]_\alpha = 0 = [X, Z]_\alpha,$$

$$[Y, Z]_\alpha = (a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32})X \quad (\text{twisted Heisenberg relation}).$$

- (3) Suppose α and β are Lie algebra automorphisms on $\mathbf{H}$. Then the Hom-Lie algebras $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{H}_\beta$ are isomorphic if and only if α and β are conjugate in the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ of Lie algebra automorphisms on $\mathbf{H}$.

In fact, the last assertion in Theorem 1.3 holds for an arbitrary Lie algebra, not just $\mathbf{H}$ (see Corollary 3.2). To say that α and β are *conjugate* in $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ means that there exists $\gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$ such that $\gamma\alpha = \beta\gamma$. It follows from the last assertion in Theorem 1.3 that there are uncountably many isomorphism classes of Hom-Lie algebras of the form $\mathbf{H}_\alpha = (\mathbf{H}, [-, -]_\alpha, \alpha)$. For example, as a consequence of the first assertion in Theorem 1.3, the following two Lie algebra automorphisms on $\mathbf{H}$,

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3.2)$$

are not conjugate in $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{H})$, provided $a \neq b^{\pm 1}$. It follows that their induced Hom-Lie algebras, $\mathbf{H}_\alpha$ and $\mathbf{H}_\beta$, are not isomorphic.

Our next example is the three-dimensional Lie algebra $sl(2)^* = \text{span}_{\mathbf{C}}\{X, Y, Z\}$, whose bracket is determined by the relations

$$[Y, Z] = 0, \quad [Y, X] = \frac{1}{2}Y, \quad \text{and} \quad [Z, X] = \frac{1}{2}Z. \quad (1.3.3)$$

The notation $sl(2)^*$ comes from the fact that this Lie algebra is the linear dual of $sl(2)$ with its Lie cobracket [45, Example 8.1.11], which plays a role in the theory of Lie bialgebras and the classical YBE. Another way to look at it is that $sl(2)^*$ is isomorphic to the $(1+1)$ -Poincaré algebra (see, e.g., [15, 2.5.9] or [22, pp.12-13]), which is the Lie algebra of the Poincaré group of affine transformations on $\mathbf{R}^2$ preserving the Lorentz distance.

Theorem 1.4. Consider the Lie algebra $sl(2)^* = \text{span}_{\mathbf{C}}\{X, Y, Z\}$ (1.3.3) and a linear map $\alpha: sl(2)^* \rightarrow sl(2)^*$.

- (1) The map α is a Lie algebra morphism if and only if its matrix takes the form

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$ with $a_{11} \neq 1$. The map α_1 is invertible if and only if $(a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32}) \neq 0$.

- (2) The Hom-Lie bracket $[-, -]_{\alpha_2} = \alpha_2 \circ [-, -]$ on $sl(2)_{\alpha_2}^*$ is identically zero. The Hom-Lie bracket $[-, -]_{\alpha_1} = \alpha_1 \circ [-, -]$ on $sl(2)_{\alpha_1}^*$ is determined by the relations

$$[Y, Z]_{\alpha_1} = 0, \quad [Y, X]_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{22}Y + a_{32}Z), \quad \text{and} \quad [Z, X]_{\alpha_1} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{23}Y + a_{33}Z).$$

- (3) There are uncountably many isomorphism classes of Hom-Lie algebras of the form $sl(2)_{\alpha_1}^* = (sl(2)^*, [-, -]_{\alpha_1}, \alpha_1)$.

Our last example of Hom-Lie algebras comes from the Lie algebra $sl(2) = \text{span}_{\mathbf{C}}\{X, Y, Z\}$, whose bracket is determined by the relations

$$[X, Y] = 2Y, \quad [X, Z] = -2Z, \quad \text{and} \quad [Y, Z] = X. \quad (1.4.1)$$

It is called the *split three-dimensional simple Lie algebra* in [22, p.14]. The Lie algebra $sl(2)$ is a crucial example in the structure theory of semisimple Lie algebras, as discussed, for example, in [20, 22]. Lie algebra morphisms on $sl(2)$ are more complicated than those on the Heisenberg algebra H and $sl(2)^*$.

Theorem 1.5. *The following is a complete list of Lie algebra morphisms α on $sl(2)$ with respect to the basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ (1.4.1). The induced Hom-Lie bracket $[-, -]_{\alpha} = \alpha \circ [-, -]$ on the Hom-Lie algebra $sl(2)_{\alpha} = (sl(2), [-, -]_{\alpha}, \alpha)$ is also stated in each case.*

- (1) $\alpha = 0$, $[-, -]_{\alpha} = 0$.

- (2)

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & a \\ -2ab & b & -a^2b \\ -2b^{-1}c & -b^{-1}c^2 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.5.1)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$, $b \neq 0$, and $ac = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{\alpha_1} &= 2(cX + bY - b^{-1}c^2Z), & [X, Z]_{\alpha_1} &= -2(aX - a^2bY + b^{-1}Z), \\ [Y, Z]_{\alpha_1} &= X - 2abY - 2b^{-1}cZ. \end{aligned}$$

- (3)

$$\alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & c & a \\ 2b^{-1}c & -b^{-1}c^2 & b^{-1} \\ 2ab & b & -a^2b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.5.2)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$, $b \neq 0$, and $ac = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{\alpha_2} &= 2(cX - b^{-1}c^2Y + bZ), & [X, Z]_{\alpha_2} &= -2(aX + b^{-1}Y - a^2bZ), \\ [Y, Z]_{\alpha_2} &= -X + 2b^{-1}cY + 2abZ. \end{aligned}$$

- (4)

$$\alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} c & a & \frac{1-c^2}{4a} \\ b & \frac{ab}{c-1} & \frac{b(1-c)}{4a} \\ \frac{1-c^2}{b} & \frac{a(1-c)}{b} & \frac{(c^2-1)(c+1)}{4ab} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.5.3)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbf{C}$, $ab \neq 0$, and $c \neq \pm 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y]_{\alpha_3} &= 2a \left(X + \frac{b}{c-1}Y + \frac{1-c}{b}Z \right), \\ [X, Z]_{\alpha_3} &= \frac{c-1}{2a} \left((c+1)X + bY - \frac{(c+1)^2}{b}Z \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$[Y, Z]_{\alpha_3} = cX + bY + \frac{1 - c^2}{b}Z.$$

Moreover, α_1 , α_2 , and α_3 are all invertible. There are uncountably many isomorphism classes of Hom-Lie algebras of the form $sl(2)_{\alpha_*}$.

In fact, not only are α_1 , α_2 , and α_3 invertible, but also their matrices all have determinant equal to 1. In [62, Example 6.1], the special case of α_1 with $a = c = 0$ (i.e., when α_1 is diagonal) was discussed, together with its induced solution of the HYBE (1.2.2) for $(\mathbf{C} \oplus sl(2), \alpha_1)$.

The results below are about Yetter-Drinfel'd modules and are valid over any field $\mathbf{k}$ of characteristic 0. We will produce a family of solutions of the HYBE from each Yetter-Drinfel'd module. A *Yetter-Drinfel'd module* V over a bialgebra H [51, 65] consists of (i) a left H -module structure on V and (ii) a left H -comodule structure on V (written $\rho(v) = \sum v_{-1} \otimes v_0$) such that the Yetter-Drinfel'd condition

$$\sum x_1 v_{-1} \otimes x_2 \cdot v_0 = \sum (x_1 \cdot v)_{-1} x_2 \otimes (x_1 \cdot v)_0 \quad (1.5.5)$$

holds for all $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. Here, and in what follows, $\Delta(x) = \sum x_1 \otimes x_2$ is Sweedler's notation [55] for comultiplication. In the special case that H is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, the category of Yetter-Drinfel'd modules over H is equivalent to the category of left modules over the Drinfel'd double of H [9, 43].

Using the Yetter-Drinfel'd condition (1.5.5), a direct computation shows that each Yetter-Drinfel'd module V over a bialgebra H has an associated R -matrix [36, 50] given by

$$B(v \otimes w) = \sum v_{-1} \cdot w \otimes v_0 \quad (1.5.6)$$

for $v, w \in V$. The following result shows that this operator B is also a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) , provided that α is compatible with the H -(co)module structures.

Theorem 1.6. *Let V be a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over a bialgebra H , and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map that is both a morphism of H -modules and a morphism of H -comodules. Then B (1.5.6) is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (V, α) .*

Let us discuss two special cases of Theorem 1.6 that are closely related to quantum groups. These results were first obtained in [62]. A *quasi-triangular bialgebra* (H, R) [9, 10] consists of a bialgebra H and an invertible element $R \in H^{\otimes 2}$ such that

$$\tau(\Delta(x)) = R\Delta(x)R^{-1}, \quad (\Delta \otimes Id)(R) = R_{13}R_{23}, \quad \text{and} \quad (Id \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}$$

for $x \in H$, where τ is the twist isomorphism. Here if $R = \sum s_i \otimes t_i \in H^{\otimes 2}$, then $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$, $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R$, and $R_{13} = (\tau \otimes Id)(R_{23})$. For example, a cocommutative bialgebra is quasi-triangular with $R = 1 \otimes 1$. However, most interesting quasi-triangular bialgebras, including many quantum groups, are not cocommutative.

Let V be an H -module for some quasi-triangular bialgebra (H, R) , where $R = \sum s_i \otimes t_i \in H^{\otimes 2}$. Then V becomes a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H , in which the left H -comodule structure is $\rho(v) = \sum t_i \otimes s_i \cdot v$. Indeed, that ρ gives V a left H -comodule structure is a consequence of the assumption $(Id \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12}$, and the Yetter-Drinfel'd condition (1.5.5) follows from $(\tau(\Delta(x)))R = R\Delta(x)$. For this Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure on V , the R -matrix B (1.5.6) takes the form

$$B(v \otimes w) = \sum t_i \cdot w \otimes s_i \cdot v = \tau(R(v \otimes w)).$$

If $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ is an H -module morphism, then it is also an H -comodule morphism, since $\alpha(s_i \cdot v) = s_i \cdot \alpha(v)$. Therefore, we recover the following result from [62, Theorem 1.2] as a special case of Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 1.7 ([62]). *Let V be an H -module for some quasi-triangular bialgebra (H, R) , and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be an H -module morphism. Then $B = \tau \circ R$ is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (V, α) .*

Another special case of Theorem 1.6 concerns the dual of Corollary 1.7. A *dual quasi-triangular bialgebra* (H, R) [18, 34, 37, 44, 45, 53] consists of a bialgebra H and an invertible bilinear form R on H (under the convolution product in $\text{Hom}(H^{\otimes 2}, \mathbf{k})$) such that the following conditions hold for $x, y, z \in H$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum y_1 x_1 R(x_2 \otimes y_2) &= \sum R(x_1 \otimes y_1) x_2 y_2, \\ R(xy \otimes z) &= \sum R(x \otimes z_1) R(y \otimes z_2), \\ R(x \otimes yz) &= \sum R(x_1 \otimes z) R(x_2 \otimes y). \end{aligned} \tag{1.7.1}$$

Let (H, R) be a dual quasi-triangular bialgebra and V be a left H -comodule with structure map $\rho(v) = \sum v_{-1} \otimes v_0$. Then V has a left H -module structure $\lambda: H \otimes V \rightarrow V$ given by $\lambda(x \otimes v) = x \cdot v = R(v_{-1} \otimes x) v_0$ for $x \in H$ and $v \in V$. The fact that λ gives V the structure of a left H -module is a consequence of the last condition in (1.7.1). Equipped with the left H -module structure λ and the left H -comodule structure ρ , V becomes a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over H , in which the Yetter-Drinfel'd condition (1.5.5) is a consequence of the first condition in (1.7.1). For this Yetter-Drinfel'd module structure on V , the R -matrix B (1.5.6) takes the form

$$B(v \otimes w) = \sum v_{-1} \cdot w \otimes v_0 = \sum R(w_{-1} \otimes v_{-1}) w_0 \otimes v_0. \tag{1.7.2}$$

If $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ is a morphism of H -comodules, then it is also a morphism of H -modules because $\sum v_{-1} \otimes \alpha(v_0) = \sum \alpha(v)_{-1} \otimes \alpha(v)_0$. Therefore, we recover the following result from [62, Theorem 1.3] as a special case of Theorem 1.6.

Corollary 1.8 ([62]). *Let V be an H -comodule for some dual quasi-triangular bialgebra (H, R) , and let $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ be an H -comodule morphism. Then B (1.7.2) is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (V, α) .*

It is worth noting that obtaining Corollary 1.8 as a consequence of Theorem 1.6 is much simpler than proving it directly, as was done in [62, section 5].

Our final result is about constructing an infinite sequence of new solutions of the HYBE from a given one. To describe this result, recall the generators σ_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) in the braid group $\mathfrak{B}_n$ on n strands, as discussed above (in the paragraph containing (1.0.5)). Let Σ_n be the symmetric group on n letters. The *length* $l(\gamma)$ of a permutation $\gamma \in \Sigma_n$ is the least integer l so that γ can be decomposed into a product of l transpositions $\tau_i = (i, i+1)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$). The length $l(\gamma)$ is also equal to the number of pairs $i < j$ for which $\gamma(i) > \gamma(j)$. A decomposition $\gamma = \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k$ with each $\gamma_i \in \Sigma_n$ is called *reduced* if $l(\gamma) = l(\gamma_1) + \cdots + l(\gamma_k)$. By a well-known result of Iwahori [21], there is a well-defined map $\theta: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n$ given by $\theta(\gamma) = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_{l(\gamma)}}$, where $\gamma = \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_{l(\gamma)}}$ is any reduced decomposition of γ in terms of transpositions.

Now let $B: V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ be a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) in which both α and B are invertible. As we mentioned above [62, Theorem 1.4], there is a braid group representation $\rho_n^B: \mathfrak{B}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$ given by $\rho_n^B(\sigma_i) = B_i$, where $B_i = \alpha^{\otimes(i-1)} \otimes B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes(n-i-1)}$ (1.0.4). Pre-composing this map with $\theta: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}_n$ from the previous paragraph, we have a well-defined map $\rho_n^B \theta: \Sigma_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$. We write the image of a permutation $\gamma \in \Sigma_n$ under the map $\rho_n^B \theta$ as B^γ . For positive integers i and j , define the permutation $\chi_{ij} \in \Sigma_{i+j}$ as

$$\chi_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & \cdots & i+j \\ j+1 & \cdots & j+i & 1 & \cdots & j \end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.8.1}$$

Finally, define the map $\alpha_n = (\alpha^{\otimes n})^{n^2} : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n}$, i.e., the composition of n^2 copies of $\alpha^{\otimes n}$. Then we have the following result, which gives a solution of the HYBE on the n -fold tensor product.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $B : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ be a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) in which both α and B are invertible, and let n be a positive integer. Then $B^{\chi_{nn}} : V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ is a solution of the HYBE for $(V^{\otimes n}, \alpha_n)$. Moreover, both α_n and $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ are invertible.*

Theorem 1.9 is a generalization of [17, Proposition 1.1], which deals with R -matrices on n -fold tensor products.

For example, when $n = 1$ we have $B^{\chi_{11}} = B$ (because $\chi_{11} = (1, 2)$) and $\alpha_1 = \alpha$. So the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1.9 is just restating the assumption that B is a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) . When $n = 2$, we have $\alpha_2 = (\alpha^{\otimes 2})^4$. Since $\chi_{22} = (2, 3)(3, 4)(1, 2)(2, 3)$ is a reduced decomposition of χ_{22} , we have

$$B^{\chi_{22}} = B_2 \circ B_3 \circ B_1 \circ B_2 : (V^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow (V^{\otimes 2})^{\otimes 2},$$

where $B_1 = B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes 2}$, $B_2 = \alpha \otimes B \otimes \alpha$, and $B_3 = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \otimes B$.

This finishes the discussion of our results. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we prove Theorem 1.2, which contains Theorem 1.1 as a special case. In section 3, we first prove the last assertion in Theorem 1.3 for a general Lie algebra (Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 3.2). Then we prove Theorem 1.5, which classifies the Lie algebra morphisms on $sl(2)$. Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, regarding the Heisenberg algebra $\mathcal{H}$ and $sl(2)^*$, are proved by the same method, but the computations are much shorter and simpler than those of Theorem 1.5. Therefore, we will omit the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Theorems 1.6 and 1.9 are proved in sections 4 and 5, respectively.

2. TWISTING R -MATRICES INTO SOLUTIONS OF THE HYBE

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2, which contains Theorem 1.1 as a special case, as discussed in the introduction. The second half of Theorem 1.2 uses the following observation. It says that operators that satisfy the YBE can be twisted along a compatible map to yield a solution of the HYBE.

Lemma 2.1. *Let V be a vector space, $\alpha : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear self-map, and $B : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$ be a bilinear (not-necessarily invertible) map that satisfies the YBE (1.0.2) and such that $\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B = B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$. Define the operator $B_\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B : V^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow V^{\otimes 2}$. Then B_α is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for (V, α) .*

Proof. First, we have $\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_\alpha = B_\alpha \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$ because of the hypothesis that B commutes with $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$. To check that B_α satisfies the HYBE for (V, α) (1.0.3), first note that

$$\alpha \otimes B_\alpha = \alpha \otimes (B \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}) = (Id_V \otimes B) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} = \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (Id_V \otimes B),$$

again because we assume that B commutes with $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$. Likewise, we have

$$B_\alpha \otimes \alpha = (B \otimes Id_V) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} = \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (B \otimes Id_V).$$

Using these observations, we verify the HYBE (1.0.3) (with $B = B_\alpha$) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha \otimes B_\alpha) \circ (B_\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_\alpha) \\
&= \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \\
&= \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \\
&= \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \\
&= \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (Id_V \otimes B) \circ \alpha^{\otimes 3} \circ (B \otimes Id_V) \\
&= (B_\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B_\alpha) \circ (B_\alpha \otimes \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

The third equality uses the assumption that B satisfies the YBE (1.0.2). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It remains to prove the first part of Theorem 1.2, which is a classification of the linear maps that are compatible (in the sense of (1.0.6)) with $B_{q,\lambda}$ (1.1.2). The second part, regarding the induced solutions $B_\alpha = \alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{q,\lambda}$ of the HYBE, follows from the first part, the definition of $B_{q,\lambda}$, and Lemma 2.1.

To compute the desired maps α , first note that $B_{q,\lambda} = \lambda B_{q,1}$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, it follows that $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$ commutes with $B_{q,\lambda}$ if and only if it commutes with $B_{q,1}$. Therefore, we only have to consider the special case $B_{q,1}$.

First assume that $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$ commutes with $B_{q,1}$. We must show that the two conditions in Theorem 1.2 hold. Write (a_{ij}) for the matrix representing α with respect to the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have

$$(\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{q,1})(e_i \otimes e_i) = q\alpha(e_i) \otimes \alpha(e_i) = \sum_{k,j} (qa_{ji}a_{ki})e_k \otimes e_j, \quad (2.1.1)$$

where both k and j run through 1 to N . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_{q,1} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2})(e_i \otimes e_i) &= B_{q,1} \left(\sum_{j,k} (a_{ji}a_{ki})e_j \otimes e_k \right) \\
&= \sum_j (qa_{ji}^2)e_j \otimes e_j + \sum_{j < k} (a_{ji}a_{ki})e_k \otimes e_j + \sum_{j > k} (a_{ji}a_{ki})(e_k \otimes e_j + (q - q^{-1})e_j \otimes e_k) \\
&= \sum_j (qa_{ji}^2)e_j \otimes e_j + \sum_{k < j} (a_{ji}a_{ki})e_k \otimes e_j + \sum_{j < k} (a_{ji}a_{ki}(1 + q - q^{-1}))e_k \otimes e_j.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2)$$

Since $q \neq 1$, we infer from (2.1.1) and (2.1.2) that $a_{ji}a_{ki} = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $k < j$. In other words, each column in the matrix (a_{ij}) for α has at most one non-zero entry. This shows that the first condition in Theorem 1.2 is necessary in order for $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$ to commute with $B_{q,1}$.

Now we show that the second condition is also necessary. So assume that $a_{ki}a_{lj} \neq 0$ for some $i < j$. We must show that $k < l$. From the previous paragraph, we have $\alpha(e_i) = a_{ki}e_k$ and $\alpha(e_j) = a_{lj}e_l$. Since $i < j$, we have

$$(\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{q,1})(e_i \otimes e_j) = \alpha(e_j) \otimes \alpha(e_i) = (a_{ki}a_{lj})e_l \otimes e_k. \quad (2.1.3)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_{q,1} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2})(e_i \otimes e_j) &= (a_{ki}a_{lj})B_{q,1}(e_k \otimes e_l) \\
&= \begin{cases} (a_{ki}a_{lj})qe_k \otimes e_k & \text{if } k = l, \\ (a_{ki}a_{lj})e_l \otimes e_k & \text{if } k < l, \\ (a_{ki}a_{lj})(e_l \otimes e_k + (q - q^{-1})e_k \otimes e_l) & \text{if } k > l. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \quad (2.1.4)$$

Since $q^2 \neq 1$, we infer from (2.1.3) and (2.1.4) that, if $k \geq l$, then $a_{ki}a_{lj} = 0$, which contradicts the assumption $a_{ki}a_{lj} \neq 0$. Therefore, we must have $k < l$, proving the necessity of the second condition in Theorem 1.2.

We have shown that a linear map $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ for which $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$ commutes with $B_{q,1}$ (1.1.2) must satisfy the two conditions in Theorem 1.2. Conversely, if the matrix of α satisfies those two conditions, then a direct computation (most of which is already shown above) shows that $\alpha^{\otimes 2} \circ B_{q,1}$ and $B_{q,1} \circ \alpha^{\otimes 2}$ are equal when applied to $e_i \otimes e_j$ for $i = j$, $i < j$, or $i > j$. In other words, those two conditions are both necessary and sufficient in order for $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$ to commute with $B_{q,1}$, and hence also with $B_{q,\lambda}$ in general. $\square$

3. SOLUTIONS OF THE HYBE FROM HOM-LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5. A much simpler computation applies to both Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. First we consider when two Hom-Lie algebras of the form $L_\alpha = (L, [-, -]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [-, -], \alpha)$, with L a Lie algebra and α a self Lie algebra morphism, are isomorphic.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ be Lie algebras and $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\beta: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ be Lie algebra morphisms with β injective. Write $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = (\mathfrak{g}, [-, -]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [-, -], \alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\beta = (\mathfrak{h}, [-, -]_\beta = \beta \circ [-, -], \beta)$. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The Hom-Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\beta$ are isomorphic.*
- (2) *There exists a Lie algebra isomorphism $\gamma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\gamma\alpha = \beta\gamma$.*

Proof. As we remarked in the introduction, $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ is a Hom-Lie algebra whenever α is a Lie algebra morphism on $\mathfrak{g}$, which can be shown by a direct computation [59]. To show that the two statements are equivalent, first suppose that $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{h}_\beta$ are isomorphic as Hom-Lie algebras. So there is a linear isomorphism $\gamma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\gamma\alpha = \beta\gamma$ and $[-, -]_\beta \circ \gamma^{\otimes 2} = \gamma \circ [-, -]_\alpha$. For $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\beta\gamma[x, y] = \gamma\alpha[x, y] = \gamma([x, y]_\alpha) = [\gamma(x), \gamma(y)]_\beta = \beta([\gamma(x), \gamma(y)]).$$

Since β is injective, we conclude that $\gamma[x, y] = [\gamma(x), \gamma(y)]$. So γ is a Lie algebra isomorphism such that $\gamma\alpha = \beta\gamma$. The converse is proved by essentially the same argument. $\square$

Setting $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h}$ with α and β invertible in Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following special case.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be Lie algebra automorphisms on a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then the Hom-Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ are isomorphic if and only if α is conjugate to β in the group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ of Lie algebra automorphisms on $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Assume for the moment that the Lie algebra morphisms on $sl(2)$ have been classified as in Theorem 1.5. One computes directly that α_i has determinant 1 for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and so they are all invertible. It then follows from Corollary 3.2 that there are uncountably many isomorphism classes of Hom-Lie algebras of the form $sl(2)_{\alpha_*} = (sl(2), \alpha_* \circ [-, -], \alpha_*)$. For example, using the classification of the Lie algebra morphisms on $sl(2)$, one can see that the automorphisms on $sl(2)$ represented by the matrices in (1.3.2) with $a \neq b^{\pm 1}$ are not conjugate in $\text{Aut}(sl(2))$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First note that the Hom-Lie bracket $[-, -]_\alpha = \alpha \circ [-, -]$ in each case is computed by simply applying α to the Lie bracket in $sl(2)$ (1.4.1). Together with the remarks just before this proof, it remains to classify the Lie algebra morphisms on $sl(2)$.

Let $\alpha: sl(2) \rightarrow sl(2)$ be a linear map represented by the 3×3 matrix (a_{ij}) with respect to the basis $\{X, Y, Z\}$ of $sl(2)$ (1.4.1). Then α is a Lie algebra morphism if and only if $\alpha([-, -]) = [\alpha(-), \alpha(-)]$

for the nine basis elements of $sl(2)^{\otimes 2}$. By the skew-symmetry of the Lie bracket, we only need to check this equality for the three basis elements $X \otimes Y$, $X \otimes Z$, and $Y \otimes Z$. The equation $\alpha([X, Y]) = [\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)]$ and the defining properties (1.4.1) give rise to three equations in the a_{ij} , one equation from each of the coefficients of X , Y , and Z . Likewise, using instead the basis elements $X \otimes Z$ and $Y \otimes Z$, we obtain six more equations in the a_{ij} . A simple calculation shows that these nine equations are:

$$a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31} = 2a_{12} \quad (3.2.1a)$$

$$a_{12}a_{21} = a_{22}(a_{11} - 1) \quad (3.2.1b)$$

$$a_{12}a_{31} = a_{32}(1 + a_{11}) \quad (3.2.1c)$$

$$a_{21}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{31} = -2a_{13} \quad (3.2.1d)$$

$$a_{13}a_{21} = a_{23}(1 + a_{11}) \quad (3.2.1e)$$

$$a_{13}a_{31} = a_{33}(a_{11} - 1) \quad (3.2.1f)$$

$$a_{11} = a_{22}a_{33} - a_{23}a_{32} \quad (3.2.1g)$$

$$a_{21} = 2(a_{12}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{22}) \quad (3.2.1h)$$

$$a_{31} = 2(a_{13}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{33}) \quad (3.2.1i)$$

The first three equations above are from $\alpha([X, Y]) = [\alpha(X), \alpha(Y)]$, the next three from $\alpha([X, Z]) = [\alpha(X), \alpha(Z)]$, and the last three from $\alpha([Y, Z]) = [\alpha(Y), \alpha(Z)]$.

In the rest of this proof, we show that the solutions to these nine simultaneous equations are exactly the four types of Lie algebra morphisms α stated in Theorem 1.5. To solve the above simultaneous equations, we consider four cases, depending on whether a_{12} and a_{13} are zero or not.

Case I: $a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$. We obtain immediately from (3.2.1h) and (3.2.1i) that $a_{21} = a_{31} = 0$, which implies $a_{23}a_{33} = 0$ by (3.2.1e) and (3.2.1f). There are three sub-cases:

- (1) If $a_{23} = 0$ and $a_{33} \neq 0$, then we obtain $a_{11} = 1$ from (3.2.1f), $a_{22}a_{33} = 1$ from (3.2.1g), and $a_{32} = 0$ from (3.2.1c). So we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.2)$$

with $a_{22} \neq 0$.

- (2) If $a_{23} \neq 0$ and $a_{33} = 0$, then we obtain $a_{11} = -1$ from (3.2.1e), $a_{23}a_{32} = 1$ from (3.2.1g), and $a_{22} = 0$ from (3.2.1b). So we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{32}^{-1} \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.3)$$

with $a_{32} \neq 0$.

- (3) If $a_{23} = a_{33} = 0$, then $a_{11} = 0$ from (3.2.1g), $a_{32} = 0$ from (3.2.1c), and $a_{22} = 0$ from (3.2.1b). So $\alpha = 0$.

Case II: $a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$. We obtain immediately from (3.2.1b) and (3.2.1c) that $a_{22}a_{32} = 0$. We divide this into three sub-cases as in Case I.

- (1) If $a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{32} \neq 0$, then (3.2.1h) implies $a_{21} = 0$ and (3.2.1i) implies $a_{31} = 2a_{13}a_{32}$. Also, $a_{12} = 0$ and $a_{32} \neq 0$ imply by (3.2.1c) that $a_{11} = -1$. Now since $a_{21} = 0$, (3.2.1d) implies $2a_{13} = a_{23}a_{31} = a_{23}(2a_{13}a_{32})$. Since $a_{13} \neq 0$, we obtain $a_{23}a_{32} = 1$. Finally, it

follows from (3.2.1f) that $-2a_{33} = a_{13}a_{31} = a_{13}(2a_{13}a_{32})$, so $a_{33} = -a_{13}^2a_{32}$. In summary, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{32}^{-1} \\ 2a_{13}a_{32} & a_{32} & -a_{13}^2a_{32} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.4)$$

with $a_{32}, a_{13} \neq 0$.

- (2) If $a_{22} \neq 0$ and $a_{32} = 0$, then (3.2.1i) implies $a_{31} = 0$, (3.2.1h) implies $a_{21} = -2a_{13}a_{22}$, and (3.2.1b) implies $a_{11} = 1$. Plugging $a_{11} = 1$ into (3.2.1g), we obtain $a_{33} = a_{22}^{-1}$. Finally, by (3.2.1e) we have $a_{23} = a_{13}a_{21}/2 = -a_{13}^2a_{22}$. Therefore, we have

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a_{13} \\ -2a_{13}a_{22} & a_{22} & -a_{13}^2a_{22} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.5)$$

with $a_{22}, a_{13} \neq 0$.

- (3) Now suppose $a_{22} = a_{32} = 0$. We show that this sub-case cannot happen. First we claim that $a_{11} = a_{21} = a_{31} = 0$. Indeed, since $a_{12} = 0 = a_{32}$, (3.2.1i) implies $a_{31} = 0$. Likewise, $a_{12} = 0 = a_{22}$ and (3.2.1h) imply $a_{21} = 0$. Using (3.2.1g) we also have $a_{11} = 0$.

Next we claim that $a_{33} = a_{23} = 0$. Indeed, using $a_{31} = 0 = a_{11}$ and (3.2.1f), we obtain $a_{33} = 0$. Likewise, $a_{21} = 0 = a_{11}$ and (3.2.1e) imply $a_{23} = 0$. Therefore, it follows from (3.2.1d) that $-2a_{13} = 0$, contradicting the assumption $a_{13} \neq 0$. Thus, this sub-case cannot happen.

Case III: $a_{12} \neq 0$ and $a_{13} = 0$. We obtain from (3.2.1e) and (3.2.1f) that $a_{23}a_{33} = 0$. Dividing this into three sub-cases as in Case II and performing a similar calculation, we obtain

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 \\ -2a_{12}a_{22}^{-1} & -a_{12}^2a_{22}^{-1} & a_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.6)$$

with $a_{12}, a_{22} \neq 0$, or

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & a_{12} & 0 \\ 2a_{12}a_{32}^{-1} & -a_{12}^2a_{32}^{-1} & a_{32}^{-1} \\ 0 & a_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.7)$$

with $a_{12}, a_{32} \neq 0$.

Notice that the matrices (3.2.2), (3.2.5), and (3.2.6) can be stated together as the matrix α_1 (1.5.1) in the statement of Theorem 1.5. Likewise, the matrices (3.2.3), (3.2.4), and (3.2.7) can be stated together as the matrix α_2 (1.5.2).

Case IV: $a_{12} \neq 0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$. This is the longest of the four cases. First we claim that $a_{11} \neq \pm 1$. Indeed, if $a_{11} = -1$, then we have $a_{31} = 0$ from (3.2.1c) and $a_{21} = 0$ from (3.2.1e). This implies that $2a_{12} = 0$ by (3.2.1a). This contradicts the assumption $a_{12} \neq 0$, so $a_{11} \neq -1$. On the other hand, if $a_{11} = 1$, then $a_{21} = 0$ by (3.2.1b). So (3.2.1e) implies that $a_{23} = 0$. But then (3.2.1d) implies that $a_{13} = 0$, contradicting the assumption $a_{13} \neq 0$. We have shown that, if $a_{12} \neq 0$ and $a_{13} \neq 0$, then $a_{11} \neq \pm 1$.

Next we claim that $a_{21} \neq 0$ and $a_{22} \neq 0$. Indeed, it follows from (3.2.1b), $a_{12} \neq 0$, and $a_{11} \neq 1$ that either $a_{21} = a_{22} = 0$ or both a_{21} and a_{22} are non-zero. But the former implies by (3.2.1a) that $2a_{12} = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus we must have $a_{21} \neq 0$ and $a_{22} \neq 0$.

The rest of this case is about expressing all the a_{ij} in terms of $a_{11} \neq \pm 1$, $a_{12} \neq 0$, and $a_{21} \neq 0$. This is a tedious but conceptually elementary calculation. We begin with a_{22} and a_{23} . First, (3.2.1b)

implies

$$a_{22} = \frac{a_{12}a_{21}}{a_{11} - 1}. \quad (3.2.8)$$

Using (3.2.1b) and (3.2.1e), we have

$$a_{21} = \frac{a_{22}(a_{11} - 1)}{a_{12}} = \frac{a_{23}(a_{11} + 1)}{a_{13}},$$

from which we obtain

$$a_{13}a_{22} = a_{12}a_{23} \cdot \frac{a_{11} + 1}{a_{11} - 1}.$$

Plugging this into (3.2.1h), we obtain

$$a_{21} = 2a_{12}a_{23} \left(1 - \frac{a_{11} + 1}{a_{11} - 1} \right) = \frac{4a_{12}a_{23}}{1 - a_{11}}. \quad (3.2.9)$$

Solving for a_{23} , we obtain

$$a_{23} = \frac{a_{21}(1 - a_{11})}{4a_{12}}. \quad (3.2.10)$$

Also, we infer that $a_{23} \neq 0$, since $a_{21} \neq 0$ and $a_{11} \neq 1$.

Next we consider a_{13} and a_{31} . Using (3.2.1e) and (3.2.9), we obtain

$$a_{13} = \frac{a_{23}(1 + a_{11})}{a_{21}} = \frac{1 - a_{11}^2}{4a_{12}}. \quad (3.2.11)$$

Using (3.2.1c) and (3.2.11), we obtain

$$a_{31} = \frac{a_{32}(1 + a_{11})}{a_{12}} = \frac{4a_{13}a_{32}(1 + a_{11})}{1 - a_{11}^2} = \frac{4a_{13}a_{32}}{1 - a_{11}}. \quad (3.2.12)$$

Plugging the expressions (3.2.9) of a_{21} , (3.2.12) of a_{31} , and (3.2.11) of a_{13} into (3.2.1d), we obtain

$$\frac{4a_{23}(a_{12}a_{33} - a_{13}a_{32})}{1 - a_{11}} = -2a_{13} = \frac{a_{11}^2 - 1}{2a_{12}}.$$

Combining this with (3.2.1i) and (3.2.9), we finally obtain

$$a_{31} = 2(a_{13}a_{32} - a_{12}a_{33}) = \frac{a_{11}^2 - 1}{2a_{12}} \cdot \frac{1 - a_{11}}{-2a_{23}} = \frac{1 - a_{11}^2}{a_{21}}. \quad (3.2.13)$$

Now we consider a_{32} . Using again the expressions (3.2.9) of a_{21} and (3.2.12) of a_{31} , it follows from (3.2.1a) that

$$2a_{12} = a_{21}a_{32} - a_{22}a_{31} = \frac{4a_{32}(a_{12}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{22})}{1 - a_{11}}.$$

Solving for a_{32} in the above equation and using (3.2.1h), we obtain

$$a_{32} = \frac{a_{12}(1 - a_{11})}{2(a_{12}a_{23} - a_{13}a_{22})} = \frac{a_{12}(1 - a_{11})}{a_{21}}. \quad (3.2.14)$$

Finally, we consider a_{33} . Using (3.2.1f) and (3.2.11), we obtain

$$a_{31} = \frac{a_{33}(a_{11} - 1)}{a_{13}} = \frac{4a_{12}a_{33}(a_{11} - 1)}{1 - a_{11}^2} = \frac{-4a_{12}a_{33}}{1 + a_{11}}. \quad (3.2.15)$$

Solving for a_{33} in (3.2.15) and using (3.2.13), we obtain

$$a_{33} = \frac{a_{31}(1 + a_{11})}{-4a_{12}} = \frac{(a_{11}^2 - 1)(a_{11} + 1)}{4a_{12}a_{21}}. \quad (3.2.16)$$

Now observe that (3.2.8), (3.2.10), (3.2.11), (3.2.13), (3.2.14), and (3.2.16) give exactly the matrix (1.5.3). This finishes Case IV and the proof of Theorem 1.5. $\square$

4. SOLUTIONS OF THE HYBE FROM YETTER-DRINFEL'D MODULES

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.6. Let us augment the notations introduced in the introduction. Here V is a Yetter-Drinfel'd module over a bialgebra H , in which the left H -comodule structure is denoted by $\rho(v) = \sum v_{-1} \otimes v_0$. The coassociativity of ρ is the equality

$$(Id_H \otimes \rho) \circ \rho = (\Delta \otimes Id_V) \circ \rho.$$

For $u \in V$, we write both $(Id_H \otimes \rho)(\rho(u))$ and $(\Delta \otimes Id_V)(\rho(u))$ as $\sum u_{-2} \otimes u_{-1} \otimes u_0$. Also, the assumption that $\alpha: V \rightarrow V$ is an H -comodule morphism means that

$$\rho(\alpha(v)) = (Id_H \otimes \alpha)(\rho(v)),$$

i.e., $\sum \alpha(v)_{-1} \otimes \alpha(v)_0 = \sum v_{-1} \otimes \alpha(v_0)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. With B defined as in (1.5.6), it follows from the H -(co)linearity assumption on α that B commutes with $\alpha^{\otimes 2}$.

To simplify typography, we will omit the summation signs in $\Delta(x)$ (for $x \in H$) and in $\rho(v)$ (for $v \in V$). Let γ denote a typical generator $u \otimes v \otimes w \in V^{\otimes 3}$. The left-hand side of the HYBE (1.0.3), when applied to γ , is

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B)(\gamma) &= (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha) (\alpha(u) \otimes v_{-1} \cdot w \otimes v_0) \\ &= (\alpha \otimes B) (u_{-1} \cdot (v_{-1} \cdot w) \otimes \alpha(u_0) \otimes \alpha(v_0)) \\ &= (u_{-2} v_{-1}) \cdot \alpha(w) \otimes u_{-1} \cdot \alpha(v_0) \otimes \alpha(u_0) \\ &= (u_{-2} \alpha(v)_{-1}) \cdot \alpha(w) \otimes u_{-1} \cdot \alpha(v)_0 \otimes \alpha(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

In the third equality above, we used $\alpha(u_{-1} \cdot (v_{-1} \cdot w)) = \alpha((u_{-1} v_{-1}) \cdot w) = (u_{-1} v_{-1}) \cdot \alpha(w)$.

Likewise, the right-hand side of the HYBE, when applied to γ , is

$$\begin{aligned} (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) \circ (B \otimes \alpha)(\gamma) &= (B \otimes \alpha) \circ (\alpha \otimes B) (u_{-1} \cdot v \otimes u_0 \otimes \alpha(w)) \\ &= (B \otimes \alpha) (u_{-2} \cdot \alpha(v) \otimes u_{-1} \cdot \alpha(w) \otimes u_0) \\ &= (u_{-2} \cdot \alpha(v))_{-1} \cdot (u_{-1} \cdot \alpha(w)) \otimes (u_{-2} \cdot \alpha(v))_0 \otimes \alpha(u_0) \\ &= [(u_{-2} \cdot \alpha(v))_{-1} u_{-1}] \cdot \alpha(w) \otimes (u_{-2} \cdot \alpha(v))_0 \otimes \alpha(u_0). \end{aligned}$$

The Yetter-Drinfel'd condition (1.5.5) (with u_{-1} and $\alpha(v)$ instead of x and v) now implies that B (1.5.6) is a solution of the HYBE for (V, α) . $\square$

5. SOLUTIONS OF THE HYBE ON n -FOLD TENSOR PRODUCTS

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us first observe that $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ commutes with $\alpha_n^{\otimes 2}$. Note that the length of χ_{nn} (1.8.1) is n^2 . By the definitions of the maps θ and ρ_n^B , we see that $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ is the composition of n^2 operators $B_i: V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes n}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$), which are defined in (1.0.4). Since each B_i commutes with $\alpha^{\otimes 2n}$, so does $B^{\chi_{nn}}$. Therefore, $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ also commutes with $(\alpha^{\otimes 2n})^{n^2} = \alpha_n^{\otimes 2}$.

Since each B_i (1.0.4) is invertible, so is $B^{\chi_{nn}}$. Also, since α is invertible, so are $\alpha^{\otimes n}$ and $\alpha_n = (\alpha^{\otimes n})^{n^2}$.

It remains to check the HYBE (1.0.3) for $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ and α_n . Consider the maps B_i (1.0.4) on $V^{\otimes 2n}$. We have

$$\alpha^{\otimes n} \otimes B_i = \alpha^{\otimes (n+i-1)} \otimes B \otimes \alpha^{\otimes (2n-i-1)},$$

which is B_{n+i} on $V^{\otimes 3n}$. Since $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ is the composition of n^2 operators B_i ($1 \leq i \leq 2n-1$), it follows that

$$\alpha_n \otimes B^{\chi_{nn}} = (\alpha^{\otimes n})^{n^2} \otimes B^{\chi_{nn}} = B^{1_n \times \chi_{nn}},$$

where 1_n is the identity in Σ_n and $1_n \times \chi_{nn} \in \Sigma_{3n}$. Likewise, we have $B^{\chi_{nn}} \otimes \alpha_n = B^{\chi_{nn} \times 1_n}$. It follows that the two sides of the HYBE are:

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_n \otimes B^{\chi_{nn}}) \circ (B^{\chi_{nn}} \otimes \alpha_n) \circ (\alpha_n \otimes B^{\chi_{nn}}) &= B^{1_n \times \chi_{nn}} \circ B^{\chi_{nn} \times 1_n} \circ B^{1_n \times \chi_{nn}}, \\ (B^{\chi_{nn}} \otimes \alpha_n) \circ (\alpha_n \otimes B^{\chi_{nn}}) \circ (B^{\chi_{nn}} \otimes \alpha_n) &= B^{\chi_{nn} \times 1_n} \circ B^{1_n \times \chi_{nn}} \circ B^{\chi_{nn} \times 1_n}. \end{aligned}$$

In Σ_{3n} , both $(1_n \times \chi_{nn})(\chi_{nn} \times 1_n)(1_n \times \chi_{nn})$ and $(\chi_{nn} \times 1_n)(1_n \times \chi_{nn})(\chi_{nn} \times 1_n)$ are reduced decompositions of the permutation

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & n & n+1 & \cdots & 2n & 2n+1 & \cdots & 3n \\ 2n+1 & \cdots & 3n & n+1 & \cdots & 2n & 1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix},$$

since $\chi_{nn} \times 1_n$ and $1_n \times \chi_{nn}$ both have length n^2 and γ has length $3n^2$. Therefore, both sides of the HYBE are equal to B^γ . This finishes the proof that $B^{\chi_{nn}}$ is a solution of the HYBE (1.0.3) for $(V^{\otimes n}, \alpha_n)$. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, Theorie der Zöpfe, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 4 (1925) 47-72.
- [2] E. Artin, Theory of braids, Ann. Math. (2) 48 (1947) 101-126.
- [3] H. Ataguema, A. Makhlouf, and S. Silvestrov, Generalization of n -ary Nambu algebras and beyond, arXiv:0812.4058v1.
- [4] J.C. Baez and A.S. Crans, Higher-dimensional algebras VI: Lie 2-algebras, Theory Appl. Cat. 12 (2004) 492-528.
- [5] R.J. Baxter, Partition function for the eight-vertex lattice model, Ann. Physics 70 (1972) 193-228.
- [6] R.J. Baxter, Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics, Academic Press, London, 1982.
- [7] J.H. Conway, An enumeration of knots and links, and some of their algebraic properties, in: Problems in abstract algebra, pp.329-258, Pergamon Press, New York, 1970.
- [8] V.G. Drinfel'd, Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 283:5 (1985) 1060-1064. English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 32 (1985) 254-258.
- [9] V.G. Drinfel'd, Quantum groups, in: Proc. ICM (Berkeley, 1986), p.798-820, AMS, Providence, RI, 1987.
- [10] V.G. Drinfel'd, On almost cocommutative Hopf algebras, Alg. i Analiz 1:2 (1989) 30-46. English transl.: Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990) 321-342.
- [11] L.D. Faddeev, Integrable models in $(1+1)$ -dimensional quantum field theory, Lectures at Les Houches 1982, Elsevier, New York, 1984.
- [12] Y. Frégier and A. Gohr, On Hom type algebras, arXiv:0903.3393v1.
- [13] Y. Frégier and A. Gohr, On unitality conditions for hom-associative algebras, arXiv:0904.4874v1.
- [14] P. Freyd, D. Yetter, J. Hoste, W.B.R. Lickorish, K.C. Millett, and A. Ocneanu, A new polynomial invariant of knots and links, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1985) 239-246.
- [15] B.C. Hall, Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations: An elementary introduction, Grad. Texts in Math. 222, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [16] J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, and S.D. Silvestrov, Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations, J. Algebra 295 (2006) 314-361.
- [17] M. Hashimoto and T. Hayashi, Quantum multilinear algebra, Tôhoku Math. J. 44 (1992) 471-521.
- [18] T. Hayashi, Quantum groups and quantum determinants, J. Algebra 152 (1992) 146-165.
- [19] N. Hu, q -Witt algebras, q -Lie algebras, q -holomorph structure and representations, Alg. Colloq. 6 (1999) 51-70.
- [20] J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Grad. Texts in Math. 9, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [21] N. Iwahori, On the structure of a Hecke ring of a Chevalley group over a finite field, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 10 (1964) 215-236.
- [22] N. Jacobson, Lie algebras, Dover Pub., New York, 1962.
- [23] R. Jian and M. Rosso, Quantum B_∞ -algebras I: Constructions of YB algebras and YB coalgebras, arXiv:0904.2964v1.
- [24] M. Jimbo, A q -difference analogue of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63-69.

- [25] M. Jimbo, Quantum R -matrix related to generalized toda system: an algebraic approach, Lect. Notes in Phys. 246, 335-361, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [26] M. Jimbo, A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation, Lett Math. Phys. 11 (1986) 247-252.
- [27] Q. Jin and X. Li, Hom-Lie algebra structures on semi-simple Lie algebras, J. Algebra 319 (2008) 1398-1408.
- [28] V.F.R. Jones, A polynomial invariant for links via von Neumann algebras, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1985) 103-111.
- [29] V.F.R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Ann. math. 126 (1987) 335-388.
- [30] A. Joyal and R. Street, The geometry of tensor calculus, I, Adv. Math. 88 (1991) 55-112.
- [31] A. Joyal and R. Street, Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 71 (1991) 43-51.
- [32] A. Joyal and R. Street, Braided tensor categories, Adv. Math. 102 (1993) 20-78.
- [33] M. Kapranov and V. Voevodsky, 2-categories and Zamolodchikov tetrahedra equations, in: Proc. Symp. Pure Math 56 Part 2, pp. 177-260, AMS, Providence, 1994.
- [34] C. Kassel, Quantum groups, Grad. Texts in Math. 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [35] P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, Quantum linear problem for the sine-Gordon equation and higher representations, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov (LOMI) 101 (1981) 101-110. English transl.: J. Soviet Math. 23 (1983) 2435-2441.
- [36] L.A. Lambe and D.E. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, J. Algebra 154 (1992) 228-288.
- [37] R.G. Larson and J. Towber, Two dual classes of bialgebras related to the concepts of "quantum group" and "quantum Lie algebra", Comm. Algebra 19 (1991) 3295-3345.
- [38] D. Larsson, Global and arithmetic Hom-Lie algebras, Uppsala Univ. UUDM Report 2008:44, <http://www.math.uu.se/research/pub/preprints.php>.
- [39] D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocycle-like identities, J. Algebra 288 (2005) 321-344.
- [40] D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, Quasi-Lie algebras, Contemp. Math. 391 (2005) 241-248.
- [41] D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, Quasi-deformations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ using twisted derivations, Comm. Algebra 35 (2007) 4303-4318.
- [42] K. Liu, Characterizations of quantum Witt algebra, Lett. Math. Phys. 24 (1992) 257-265.
- [43] S. Majid, Doubles of quasitriangular Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra 19 (1991) 3061-3073.
- [44] S. Majid, Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo (2) Suppl. 26 (1991) 3061-3073.
- [45] S. Majid, Foundation of quantum group theory, Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1995.
- [46] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebra structures, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008) 51-64.
- [47] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras, in: S. Silvestrov et. al. eds., Gen. Lie theory in Math., Physics and Beyond, Ch. 17, pp. 189-206, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [48] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Notes on formal deformations of Hom-associative and Hom-Lie algebras, to appear in Forum Math., arXiv:0712.3130v1.
- [49] A. Makhlof and S. Silvestrov, Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras, arXiv:0811.0400v2; Preprints in Math. Sci., Lund Univ., Center for Math. Sci., 2008.
- [50] D.E. Radford, Solutions to the quantum Yang-Baxter equation and the Drinfel'd double, J. Algebra 161 (1993) 20-32.
- [51] D.E. Radford and J. Towber, Yetter-Drinfel'd categories associated to an arbitrary bialgebra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 87 (1993) 259-279.
- [52] L. Richard and S. Silvestrov, A note on quasi-Lie and Hom-Lie structures of σ -derivations of $\mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$, in: S. Silvestrov et. al. eds., Gen. Lie theory in Math., Physics and Beyond, Ch. 22, pp. 257-262, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [53] P. Schauenburg, On coquasitriangular Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation, Algebra Berichte 67, Verlag Reinhard Fischer, München, 1992.
- [54] G. Sigurdsson and S. Silvestrov, Lie color and Hom-Lie algebras of Witt type and their central extensions, in: S. Silvestrov et. al. eds., Gen. Lie theory in Math., Physics and Beyond, Ch. 21, pp. 247-255, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [55] M.E. Sweedler, Hopf algebras, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [56] V.G. Turaev, Operator invariants of tangles and R -matrices, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Math. 53:5 (1989) 1073-1107. English transl.: Math. USSR-Izv. 35 (1990) 411-444.

- [57] C.N. Yang, Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with replusive delta-function interaction, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1312-1315.
- [58] D. Yau, Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras, J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. 2 (2008) 95-108.
- [59] D. Yau, Hom-algebras and homology, arXiv:0712.3515v2.
- [60] D. Yau, Hom-bialgebras and comodule algebras, arXiv:0810.4866.
- [61] D. Yau, Module Hom-algebras, arXiv:0812.4695v1.
- [62] D. Yau, The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras, J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 165202 (12pp).
- [63] D. Yau, The classical Hom-Yang-Baxter equation and Hom-Lie bialgebras, preprint.
- [64] D.N. Yetter, Markov algebras, Contemp. Math. 78, pp.705-730, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988.
- [65] D.N. Yetter, Quantum groups and representations of monoidal categories, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 108 (1990) 261-290.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY AT NEWARK, 1179 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, NEWARK, OH 43055, USA

E-mail address: `dyau@math.ohio-state.edu`